



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/825,184 | 04/02/2001 | Edward Lo | 500742.01 | 1685 |
| 27076 | 7590 06/14/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP | | | BAROT, BHARAT | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SUITE 3400 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2155 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/825,184 | BERTI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Bharat N. Barot | 2155 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 F | ebruary 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under the | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| • | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2001</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | ∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc | tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document | |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | on No. | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio | • • | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | |

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

Claims 1-11 (PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED) remain for further examination.
 Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-11 filed on February 16, 2005
 have been fully considered.

The old rejection maintained

The rejection is respectfully maintained as set forth in the last Office
 Action mailed on August 16, 2004. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims
 1-11 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot and old rejection maintained.

Drawings

3. This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,184

Art Unit: 2155

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Innes et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,754,321). Innes' patent meets all the limitations for claims 1-11 recited in the claimed invention.

Page 3

- 6. As to claim 1, Innes et al disclose a system for enhancing connectivity over the Internet (figure 1), comprising: a schedule (enterprise server) having shifts of workers in which orders are assigned to be performed (column 5 line 63 to column 6 line 25); a mobile device accessing the schedule by an application through the Internet (column 6 lines 25-37); and a proxy that acts for the schedule when the mobile device is temporarily disconnected to the Internet (column 6 lines 38-44).
- 7. As to claim 2, Innes et al disclose that a bypass mechanism to allow the proxy to update the schedule without going through a web server when the mobile device is again connected from the Internet (column 6 lines 38-44).
- 8. As to claim 3, Innes et al disclose that the proxy includes a server that services the application as if the application were connected to the schedule when the mobile device is temporarily disconnected from the schedule (column 2 lines 10-20; and column 6 lines 25-44).

Art Unit: 2155

- 9. As to claim 4, Innes et al disclose that when the mobile device is again connected to the Internet, the proxy includes a servlet that communicates with the schedule to update the schedule with information generated by the application while the mobile device is temporarily disconnected from the Internet (column 2 lines 10-20; column 6 lines 25-44; and column 7 lines 12-28).
- 10. As to claim 5, Innes et al disclose that proxy includes a database that stores information generated by the application while the mobile device is temporarily disconnected from the schedule (column 2 lines 10-20; and column 4 lines 20-33).
- 11. As to claims 6-10, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-5 above, since claims 6-10 are merely a method of operations performed by the apparatus defined in the claims 1-5.
- 12. As to claim 11, it is also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 1 above, since claim 11 is merely a computer program product for controlling the apparatus defined in the claim 1 (see column 2 lines 56-62).

Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43 filed on January 23, 2004 (Paper Number 08) have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the claims 1-43.
- 14. In the remarks, the applicant argues that:
- (A) **Argument:** Innes does not teach or suggest a schedule having shifts of workers in which orders are assigned to be performed.

Response: Innes teaches that registering the device names with an enterprise server within a network, the enterprise server routes to a respective server-based input queue all communications which are destined for the registered devices and stores communications in the storage (column 5 line 63 to column 6 line 25) which implies that a schedule (enterprise server) having shifts of workers (devices) in which orders (communications) are assigned to be performed.

(B) **Argument:** Innes does not teach or suggest a proxy that acts for the schedule when the mobile device is temporarily disconnected to the Internet.

Response: Innes teaches that when the mobile device is disconnected, the network-connected computer (proxy) that act for the schedule (enterprise server) (column 6 lines 38-44).

Application/Control Number: 09/825,184

Art Unit: 2155

(C) Argument: Innes is not valid prior art.

Response: Applicant claims priority to US provisional application no. 60/193,917 filed on March 31, 2000, but the reference (US patent to Innes et al.) claims foreign priority to GB application no. 0004010 filed on February 22, 2000; therefore, the cited reference (US patent to Innes et al.) is valid prior art.

15. This action is made final. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Contact Information

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bharat Barot whose telephone number is (571) 272-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne, can be reached at (571) 272-4001.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,184

Art Unit: 2155

Page 7

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

BHARAT BAROT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

June 02, 2005